

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,069	02/04/2004	Vytautas Getautis	3216.61US02	4527
24113 7590 10/18/2007 PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER			EXAMINER	
			MCCLENDON, SANZA L	
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commencers	10/772,069	GETAUTIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sanza L. McClendon	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 At	ugust 2007.				
,	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-22 and 36-43</u> is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-35</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate. 1045/57			

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to the Amendment received on August 2, 2007, the examiner has carefully considered the amendments.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks/Amendment, filed August 2, 2007, with respect to claims 23-35 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The provisional rejection of claims 23-35 under nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over 10/883,453 has been withdrawn. The rejection of claims 23-35 under nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over 7,118,840 has been withdrawn. The rejection of claims 23-35 under nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over 6,214,508 has been withdrawn. The rejection of claims 23-26 and 28-35 under 35 USC 102(b) has been withdrawn.

while there are no claim rejections left, the examiner has noticed that the definitions for Y in claim 23 is not adequately defined in such as way that there is adequate guidance for a skilled artisan to obtain/make/use the charge transport composition comprising the molecule having the formula found in the instant claims. Per conversation with representative Paul Savererlde, the examiner suggested adding the limitations of claims 27 and 30, which define Y, into independent claim 23 and 30, as well as, doing this (addition of the dependent claims defining Y into each independent claims).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 23-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1796

In claims 23 and 30, it is unclear what types/kinds of linking groups Y is intended to define. Does Y include carbonate, lactone, $-(CH2)_k$ — where k is an integer of greater than 30, ether groups, acetylene groups, or the like? Clarification is requested.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L. McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sanza L McClend Examiner Art Unit 1796 Application/Control Number: 10/772,069

Art Unit: 1796

Page 4